Reconstruction of 3D Electron Density of the Global Corona by Tomography from Multi-vantage Observations

> Tongjiang Wang (CUA/NASA's GSFC) Shaela Jones (CUA/NASA's GSFC) Nick Arge (NASA's GSFC)

PUNCH 4 Science Meeting July 6-7, 2023

# Introduction

- Objectives of the 3D Coronal Density Reconstruction
  - > Understand the 3D structure and magnetic fields of solar corona
  - > Understand the short-term and long-term variability of the inner/middle corona
  - Understand the solar wind's origin
  - Provide crucial constraints on the inner boundary for solar wind model to improve space weather prediction
  - Provide coronal background model to understand the origin of shocks and radio bursts produced by flare/CME

## Task of our Work

- Develop and apply the tomography technique to reconstruct the 3D electron density from multiple vantage-point pB observations by STEREO/COR1 and LASCO/C2 (current)
- Extended to the outer corona using STEREO/COR2, LASCO/C3, and Solar Orbiter/METIS
- > Expanded to future missions such as PUNCH/NFI and CODEX

# Regularized Tomography

### Linear inversion problem

$$pB(\rho) = \int_{\text{LOS}} K(\rho, r) N(s) ds \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad AX = Y$$

Where  $\mathbf{X}=[n1, n2, ...]^{T}$  unknow density,  $\mathbf{Y}=[b1, b2, ...]^{T}$  data, and Matrix **A** contains the known coefficients depending on the geometries and Thomson scattering

 Solving Linear problem by minimization of loss function with regularization (Frazin 2000; Frazin & Janzen 2002; Kramar +2009)

 $F = ||AX - Y||^2 + \mu ||RX||^2$ 

- ||*RX*||<sup>2</sup> represents the smoothing of the solution,
  e.g., L2 norm of 1st order or 2nd order derivatives
- Regularization term used to reduce the noise effect and make the solution stable and unique





Spheric grid: N $\phi$ =51, N $\theta$ =26, Nr=6, M=28 rays

Improvement of regularization method: Adding a weighting factor

- to avoid overly-smoothing of the highdensity structure at lower heights
- Increase solution stability for high heights

 $F = ||AX - Y||^2 + \mu ||R_W X||^2$ 

Where  $\mathbf{R}_{w}$ =WR, and W is a diagonal matrix with  $W_k = 1/N_{bg}(r_k)$ , where  $N_{bg}(r)$  is global average of SSPA model



<sup>-50</sup> 0 Comparison with thermodynamic MHD solution by PSI (Lionello+2009)



MHD model by PSI

Regularizatin with a weighting factor

- Minimization with 0<sup>th</sup>-order regularization
- Oth-order regularization (ridge regression)

$$F = ||AX - Y||^2 + \mu ||X||^2$$
  
From  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial X} = 0$ , obtain

$$(A^TA + \mu I) X_{\mu} = A^TY$$
 where  $R^TR = I$ , is  
a unit matrix

 $MX_{\mu} = b$ 

N=128^3,  $2^{nd}$ -order smooth, weight= $1/N_{bg}(r)$ 





### N=64<sup>3</sup>, 0th-order smooth, no weight



### Uncertainties of 3D density due to time evolution

N=64^3, w=1/sqrt(N<sub>bg</sub>(r)) Period: - 7 days N=64^3, w=1/sqrt(N<sub>bg</sub>(r)) Period: Jun-23 to Jul-07, 2010 N=64^3, w=1/sqrt(N<sub>bg</sub>(r)) Period: + 7days

### (zero-order regularization with radial weighting)





Red: analyzed case White: - 7 days



Red: analyzed case White: +7 days

# Cross-Validation Method

to determine the best regularization parameter and provide the error estimate

1000

10000



Best regularization-parameter, μ=85, determined from 5-fold CVs

- 5-fold cross-validations calculated based on randomly sampling rate of 10% for CR 2098 (grid=128^3 without radial weighting)
  - Calculate the solution from data with 10-20% extracted randomly
  - Validate the solution by calculating the error between model-prediction and the extracted data
  - 3) Repeat steps 1-2 for 5 times for different  $\mu$  values to determine  $\mu$ \_best with the minimum Err
  - 4) Standard deviation for average of 5-fold solutions with  $\mu$ \_best giving error estimates of the solution

### Reconstruction by tomography from observations of multiple spacecraft



E-limb (red) and W-limb (green )positions of sampling data from COR1-A (upper), COR1-B (middle), LASCO/C2 (bottom) - **data coverage time:** ~ **5 days** 







Cartesian-F90 grid=128^3, wt=1, mu=1000

Sphere-F90 grid=p181t91r37, wt=1, mu=100

Comparison of density profiles along the equator between Cartesian and spheric grids

### Comparison between single-vantage and 3 vantage reconstructions for CR2091



Tomographic reconstruction from a 5-days observations near central CR using 3 views from COR1-A, COR1-B, and Lasco-C2



Cartesian-F90 grid=128^3, wt=1, mu=1000

Tomographic density reconstructions from pB images of COR1-B

### Some Applications of the tomographic 3D coronal density

 Evaluate coronal magnetic field model by comparing predicted current Sheet (red line) with locations of density peaks
 CR 2091



pB synoptic map merged from LASCO/C2, STEREO/COR1-A and B data (from Sasso et al. 2019)



Tomographic density reconstructed from the same pB observations

Tomographic density can overcome the defects when using the pB synoptic map as agent



# $P(\chi_i) = e^{\kappa \cos(\min(\theta_i))},$ $M = \frac{\overline{P(\chi_{\text{NL}})}}{\overline{P(\chi_{\text{shell}})}}.$

Model-1: data times close to tomo.

#### Model-2: with farside-emerged AR

 Reconstructions of CR 2123-2126 during maximum of Solar Cycle 24 (2012/04-08)



Separation between COR1-A and Earth:  $\phi_{AE}$ =122 deg (58) Separation between COR1-B and Earth:  $\phi_{BE}$ =115 deg (65) Separation between COR1-A and COR1-B:  $\phi_{AB}$ =123 deg (57)



Bigazzi+2020 AG

# 3-5 pB images/day for LASCO/C2 FOV 2-6 Rs



### 6h-cadence movie of COR1A/B pB for CR 2124



### Reconstructions of CR 2123-2126 during maximum of Solar Cycle 24 (2012/04-08)

### Data selections:

- Avoid CMEs (esp. long-duration eruption; • streamer blowout)
- Avoid images with strong inference strips •



CR 2123

CR 2124

### Cartesian-F90, 3-views, grid=128^3, wt=1, mu=100, reg=d2f2



2012/05/11 12:00 - 2012/05/16 03:00 for CR 2123



### 2012/06/12 00:05 - 2012/06/16 12:00 for CR 2124



2012/06/21 15:00 - 2012/06/26 03:00 for CR 2125



2012/07/25 12:00 - 2012/07/30 00:05 for CR 2126

### Cartesian-F90, 3-views, grid=128^3, wt=1, mu=10, reg=d2f2







### Thermodynamic MHD steady-state solution with heating model 101 from PSI



### Thermodynamic MHD steady-state solution with heating model 201 from PSI



### Comparison of Density at r=3.0 Rs between tomography and MHD model



### Tomography N from 3 views



PSI MHD steady-state N at r=3 Rs (green contours for Br=0)



Tomography backbone metric vs. WSA model with Synoptic boundary



Carrington Longitude (deg)

Validation of WSA model prediction

12 realizations produced by ADAPT-GONG input maps, where a flux transport model was used with the variations due to supergranular motion

- Perspectives: tomography reconstructions from multiple vantage coronagraph pB observations of existing and future missions
- > Plans:
  - Reconstruction of 3D density from STEREO/COR1-A/B and LASCO/C2 during solar minimum and maximum of Solar Cycle 24 for 2.2-4.0 Rs
  - Reconstruction from STEREO/COR2 and LASCO/C3 for large FOV 6-15 Rs (e.g., In 2009/07/01-2010/08/01, solar minimum to rising phase of SC24): Separation angle  $\phi_{A/B-E} \sim 50^{\circ} - 75^{\circ}$ , maximum required  $P_{obs} \leq 6$  days
  - Reconstruction from STEREO/COR2A (2-15 Rs), LASCO/C3 (6-32 Rs), SolO/METIS (1.7-9 Rs), PUNCH/NFI (6-32 Rs), and CODEX (3-10 Rs)
- > Main issues
  - Corrections for F-corona
  - Cross calibrations
  - Effects of coronal dynamic, eruptions, and evolution

# Summary

- Develop the regularized tomography code in F90 on both Cartesian and Spheric grids
- Improvements with radial weighting and zero-order regularization to alleviate smoothing effects and use cross-validation to estimate errors
- Demonstrate reconstructions of 3D electron density using pB images from STEREO/COR1-A, COR1-B, and LASCO/C2 during the solar minimum and maximum of SCs
- Reconstructions on a timescale of 4-5 days data enable the studies of coronal structure evolution providing crucial constraints on solar wind models