



Mapping Solar Wind Flows with PUNCH

Raphael Attié Co-chair of PUNCH WG-1A Research assistant professor NASA GSFC [671] / George Mason University

PUNCH 4 July 6th, 2023

Team: Raphael Attié Barbara Thompson Valmir Moraes Filho Benoit Tremblay Nicholeen Viall Bea Gallardo-Lacourt Elena Provornikova Anna Malanushenko

MAPPING SOLAR WIND FLOWS: WHY?

 \succ Understand the interplay between the corona and planetary environments

By expanding as the SW flows outward, relatively small changes near the sun's surface feed planet-wide space weather effects. Measuring that flow is necessary to relate the dynamics in the environment of Earth and the other planets to what has happened upstream.

Critical lack of flow maps in the solar wind, addressed by delivering solar wind flow fields with quantifiable uncertainties

MAPPING SOLAR WIND FLOWS: HOW?



• Optical flow

• Physics-based

• Neural Networks

• Optical flow Definition (qualitative): quantify the motion of brightness patterns across a time series of images in a sparse or dense flow field.

• Physics-based

• Neural Networks

• Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based
- Physics-based

o Neural Networks

o Ball-tracking

Definition (qualitative): quantify the motion of brightness patterns across a time series of images in a sparse or dense flow field.

• Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based
- Physics-based

Definition (qualitative): quantify the motion of brightness patterns across a time series of images in a sparse or dense flow field.

$$\partial_x Iu + \partial_y Iv + \partial_t I = 0$$

I(*x*,*y*,*t*): Brightness (Image intensity) (u,v): (x,y)-component of the 2D velocity vector in the image plane

o Neural Networks

• Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based

o Physics-based

Definition (qualitative): quantify the motion of brightness patterns across a time series of images in a sparse or dense flow field.



I(*x*,*y*,*t*): Brightness (Image intensity) (u,v): (x,y)-component of the 2D velocity vector in the image plane

- 1 optical flow equation
- 2 unknowns (u,v)
- In reality: noise => optical flow equation => error term
- \Rightarrow Need additional constraints: e.g. smoothness constraints (no sharp transitions)
- \Rightarrow Minimization problem

Neural Networks

$$\epsilon_b \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}{=} \partial_x I u + \partial_y I v + I_t$$

$$\epsilon_s = \partial_x u^2 + \partial_y u^2 + \partial_x v^2 + \partial_y v^2$$

• Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based

Physics-based

 $\partial_x Iu + \partial_y Iv + \partial_t I = 0$

Caveat

Neural Networks



Apparent motion: upward ↑

• Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based

Physics-based

 $\partial_x Iu + \partial_y Iv + \partial_t I = 0$

Caveat

o Neural Networks

Ball-tracking



Apparent motion: upward ↑

True motion: purely horizontal left to right

• Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based

 $\partial_x Iu + \partial_y Iv + \partial_t I = 0$

• Physics-based

Caveat

When the image has locally no gradient in either or both direction, the motion vector cannot be determined

> Aperture problem



Apparent motion: upward ↑

True motion: purely horizontal left to right

• Neural Networks

Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based
- o Physics-based

• Neural Networks

Ball-tracking

 \bigcirc

 $\partial_x Iu + \partial_y Iv + \partial_t I = 0$

Caveat

When the image has locally no gradient in either or both direction, the motion vector cannot be determined

> Aperture problem

Some implementations can circumvent this problem:

- ✓ Numerical schemes fill in the gap
- Hierarchical/pyramidal processing
 But... not tested yet for PUNCH



Apparent motion: upward ↑

True motion: purely horizontal left to right

• Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based
- o Physics-based

Neural Networks

Application of differential method by **Bea Gallardo-Lacourt** for tracking auroras:

Dense optical flow



Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based
- Physics-based

 $\partial_x Iu + \partial_y Iv + \partial_t I = 0$

- 1 optical flow equation
- 2 unknowns (u,v)
- \Rightarrow Need additional constraints







Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based
- Physics-based

 $\partial_x u + \partial_y Iv + \partial_t I = 0$

- 1 optical flow equation
- 2 unknowns (u,v)
- \Rightarrow Need additional constraints







Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based
- Physics-based

 $\partial_x I u + \partial_y I v + \partial_t I = 0$

- 1 optical flow equation
- 2 unknowns (u,v)
- \Rightarrow Need additional constraints



• Neural Networks

Ball-tracking

 \bigcirc

=> Differential methods are worth a shot

• Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based
- o Physics-based

o Neural Networks

Metric of similarity: correlation $\sigma_{X^f} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_i^f - \overline{X^f})^2}$ $R(k) = \frac{\sigma_{X^1 X^2}}{\sigma_{X^1} \sigma_{X^2}}$ Local Correlation tracking: optical flow based on "correlation" similarity metric, telling how much two tracking areas in consecutive images are similar. The position of maximum correlation yields the local motion vector.



• Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based

• Physics-based

o Neural Networks

Initial brightness
$$I(\mathbf{x},t) = I_0(\mathbf{x})\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{v}t)$$

• Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based

• Physics-based

o Neural Networks

Initial brightness

$$I(\mathbf{x}, t) = I_0(\mathbf{x})\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}t)$$

$$\hat{I}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \hat{I}_0(\mathbf{k}, \omega)\delta(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \omega)$$

• Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based

• Physics-based

o Neural Networks

Initial brightness

$$I(\mathbf{x}, t) = I_0(\mathbf{x})\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}t)$$

$$\hat{I}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \hat{I}_0(\mathbf{k}, \omega)\delta(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \omega)$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \omega = 0$$
Optical flow equation

\circ Optical flow

Methods:

- Differential
- Feature matching
- Frequency based
- Physics-based

Neural Networks

Initial brightness

$$I(\mathbf{x}, t) = I_0(\mathbf{x})\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}t)$$

$$\hat{I}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \hat{I}_0(\mathbf{k}, \omega)\delta(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \omega)$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \omega = 0$$
Optical flow equation

- No practical implementation in place for heliospheric imagery
- Makes sense for tracking **periodic** density structures

• Optical flow

• Physics-based

• Neural Networks

Affine velocity estimators: DAVE & DAVE4VM (Schuck, 2006, 2008) Using magnetic field measurements, account for magnetic induction equation to track the velocity of magnetic footpoints in the photosphere.

 More accurate results than correlation-based methods



• Optical flow

• Physics-based

• Neural Networks

- > **PUNCH:** polarized brightness
- > **Physics:** Thompson scattering
- Optical flow: additional physical constraints should be investigated to minimize the uncertainty when relying solely on the displacements of brightness patterns.

• Optical flow

Supervised Neural Nets are trained with [MHD] simulations, where a network is presented input observations (synthetic granulation) and an output ground truth (flow field), and the neural net creates a mapping functions between the input and output.

• Physics-based

Neural Networks

- CNN: supervised
- PiNNs: unsupervised

• Optical flow

• Physics-based

• Neural Networks

- CNN: supervised
- PiNNs: unsupervised

HOW DO WE TRACK PLASMA FLOWS?

Supervised Neural Nets are trained with [MHD] simulations, where a network is presented input observations (synthetic granulation) and an output ground truth (flow field), and the neural net creates a mapping functions between the input and output.



• Optical flow

• Physics-based

• Neural Networks

- CNN: supervised
- PiNNs: unsupervised

HOW DO WE TRACK PLASMA FLOWS?

Supervised Neural Nets are trained with [MHD] simulations, where a network is presented input observations (synthetic granulation) and an output ground truth (flow field), and the neural net creates a mapping functions between the input and output.



Caveat: may not generalize well enough if systematics, or the lack of simulated physics make the real observations and simulations deviate from each other.

• Optical flow

• Physics-based

Neural Networks

- CNN: supervised
- PiNNs: unsupervised

PiNNs are Unsupervised Physics-informed Neural Nets: The forward

propagation is using observations as input, but instead of a ground truth flow field at the output, the forward/backprop minimization is driven by physics equations that describe the mechanisms that **we believe** are at play.

Advantages:

Efficient PDE solvers that can learn non-linear relationships

Disadvantages:

Carry the uncertainty on to what extent the physics equations describe the observations, which is a human-based choice, restrict discovery space

• Optical flow

• Physics-based

• Neural Networks

HOW DO WE TRACK PLASMA FLOWS?

Magnetic Balltracking (*Attie & Innes, 2015*): Tracking in the **Lagrange** reference frame of moving magnetic features.

Output a "sparse" flow field, motion vectors for a set of moving objects (here, magnetic moving fragments in magnetograms), and feature characteristics (lifetimes, sizes, etc...)





Temporal-unsharp masked (by Craig Deforest)





Tracking of PDS subset on L7 TUM - 2014-04-14T02:46:00 - Frame #0



Magnetic Balltracking applied to plasma density structures in the solar wind



Tracking of PDS subset on L7 TUM - 2014-04-14T02:46:00 - Frame #0



Magnetic Balltracking applied to plasma density structures in the solar wind



Tracking of PDS subset on L7 TUM - 2014-04-14T02:46:00 - Frame #0



Caveats: taken as-is, flow field still subject to 3D location uncertainty (optically thin plasma, projection effects, etc...)

Training sets: manually tracked and ellipse-fitted density structures used to fine-tune Magnetic Balltracking



Training sets:

- ✓ Must provide density & velocity flows used as "ground truth"
- ✓ Necessary to tune algorithms, evaluate performance & uncertainties
- ✓ Statistically significant for separating Training/Validating/Testing to overcome overfitting
- Available: Manually tracked density structures with quantified uncertainties from STEREO COR2
- Available: Synthetic datasets from Valmir Moraes Filho (SynCOM, See talk)
- Planned: MHD simulations: jets / jetlets (*Wyper et al. 2022, ApJL 941 L29*)
- **Planned**: GAMERA / CMEs (see talks from Anna Malanushenko and Elena Provornikova)
- input to pipeline for PUNCH-like observations (See Sarah Gibson talk)

