Evaluation of biases in UFS hindcasts at S2S timescales

Benjamin W. Green^{1,2}, Sina Khani^{1,2}, and Shan Sun²

¹CIRES, University of Colorado Boulder

²NOAA/Global Systems Laboratory

S2S Community Workshop, Boulder CO, 6 June 2024

Overview of operational global modeling at NOAA

- Current (June 2023):
 - GEFSv12: UFS-based, atmosphere/wave coupled, 0-16 days*
 - *GEFS does provide forecasts out to 35 days, using CFSv2 SST
 - CFSv2: not UFS-based, atmosphere/ocean/wave/ice, 0-9 months
- Future (exact details subject to change):
 - GEFSv13: UFS-based, atmosphere/ocean/wave/ice coupled, 0-48 days
 - SFSv1: UFS-based, atmosphere/ocean/wave/ice coupled, 0-12 months
- •Key point: Subseasonal **and** seasonal currently handled by same model (CFSv2); future will **separate seasonal forecasts from subseasonal**

Minimizing Early Model Biases and Errors

• How does bias grow over time? A conceptual sketch – variable-dependent



• We do not expect bias to grow unbounded, indefinitely

Minimizing Early Model Biases and Errors

• How does bias grow over time? A conceptual sketch – variable-dependent



•We expect biases to grow, then saturate (with [intra]seasonal oscillation)

- But what happens in the S2S time range???? We might expect patterns at 6-7 months to be quite different than 0-1 month due to seasonal cycle
- Previous work (e.g. Saurral et al. 20 their Fig. 12) shows, e.g., a "seasonal dependency of [SST] biases in *some* forecast systems" 4

Previous results: Subseasonal bias growth



- Green et al. (2023, MWR):
 - Coupled UFS; subseasonal prototypes 5, 7, and 8 (lead times out to 35 days)
 - Key finding: Many bias patterns at weeks 3-4 are similar to those at week 1 (but with bigger amplitude)

 potential shortcut for subseasonal model tuning
- Notice that sign of bias does not change with lead time (don't see blues changing to reds along a horizontal line)



Previous results Subseasonal bizer growth

- Bias patterns in Week 1 often look similar to those in Weeks 3-4, but with bigger amplitudes
- Example from this particular study (T2m):
 - Locally cool bias in SE Australia
- Can also be seen in precip (next slide)...



Previous results Subseasonal bia growth

- Bias patterns in Week 1 often look similar to those in Weeks 3-4, but with bigger amplitudes
- Examples from this particular study (QPF):
 - Dry bias off US East Coast
 - Dry bias in Amazon region ("GF_5" experiment)
- But what about **seasonal** bias evolution? Dependent on model and variable?



Ongoing work: Seasonal prediction with UFS

- "SFS" is still in very early stages of development
- In this talk, focus on a set of 12-month-long coupled UFS runs:
 - Initialized every May 21-25 (5-member time-lagged ensemble) from 1991-2022 (32 yrs)
 - Resolution: 1° atmosphere and ocean
- Look at monthly averages: captures annual cycle without daily/weekly noise
- Caveat (same with subseasonal): code base is changing rapidly; some specific results may be "fleeting"

Preliminary results: Seasonal prediction with

• Results look "reasonable" • Time-lagged ensemble is quite underdispersive (better ensemble strategies coming!)

• What about bias maps?



Figures created by Sina Khani

SST biases: Late May ICs



- Some regions have persistent bias patterns (SE Pacific cool bias)
- Other regions have time-varying bias patterns (N Atlantic)
- How can bias evolution be **quantified**?

Figures

Quantification of bias evolution

• Use anomaly correlation: Correlation between bias map of Lead Month 0 (June) and bias map every other lead month (separately)



Pattern Anomaly Correlation: SST Bias

• Implications for bias reduction 0.1



Conclusions and Future Work

- Evidence at both subseasonal and seasonal timescales that **bias patterns** can persist with increasing lead time
 - Limiting factor: annual cycle
 - Influence of annual cycle likely varies between variables and models (source of bias)
- Model developers may be able to leverage persistence of bias patterns in their work: in some instances, shorter runs may be sufficient without having to spend lots of CPU always making very long integrations
- Future work: Investigate root causes of SST biases in seasonal UFS
 - Following Saurrai et al. (2021) finding that *different modeling systems have different seasonality of bias patterns*, explore seasonality of biases in fields such as radiation and wind stress

Backup: Differences between this work and other work

- No study to our knowledge correlates Lead 0 bias w/ Lead Month 1,2,... bias
- <u>Ma et al. (2021)</u>:
 - Aggregate all IC months together (only late May ICs looked at here)
 - Correlate monthly biases with "long-term" (40+ year) biases
- Huang et al. (2007): Focus on S. Atlantic; did not quantify bias v. lead time
- <u>Voldoire et al. (2019)</u>: Focus on Atlantic, only looked at first 6 months (nb: Fig. 2 shows different models have different SST bias evolutions)
- <u>Siongco et al. (2020)</u>:
 - Focus on Pacific
 - Other similarities to Ma et al. (2021)
- Hermanson et al. (2018): Only look at first 4 months
- <u>Saurral et al. (2021)</u>: Only looked at first 5 months for seasonal

13

Backup: Seasonal SST bias evolution (other researchers)

APR MAY-JUN-JUL CLIM • Fig. 2 of Voldoire et al. (2019) ECMWF EC-Earth ROP BIAS=-0.3 CNRM Cerfacs IPSL NorCPM

Backup: Seasonal SST bias evolution (other



15

Ongoing work: Quantification of bias evolution

- Use anomaly correlation: Correlation between bias map of Lead Month 0 (June) and bias map every other lead month (separately) over 50S-50N
- Correlation reaches a minimum at Lead Month 8 (February)
 - Note: Lead Month 6 (December) ^{0.9} is halfway through solar cycle ^{0.8} from initializations (late May) ^{0.7}
- Bias correlations **increase** in 0.6 Lead Months 9&10 (Mar & Apr) 0.5
- Very new result, more work needed (e.g., correlations using reanalysis data only)
- Implications for bias reduction⁶

16

