


# Tropical and Midlatitude S2S Prediction using UFS and Machine Learning

Eric D. Maloney, Elizabeth Barnes, Jack Cahill, Zaibeth Carlo Frontera, Yu-Cian Tsai, Daehyun Kim<sup>2</sup>, Suzana Camargo<sup>3</sup>

Colorado State University, Seoul National University<sup>2</sup>, LDEO<sup>3</sup>



# Objectives

Part 1. Use ML to predict errors in subseasonal North American geopotential height forecasts in GEFS hindcasts


Part 2. Demonstrate that several prototypes of the UFS produce common subseasonal prediction errors over the tropical east Pacific and Atlantic, affecting the conditions that modulate tropical cyclones in these basins

Part 3. Neural network (NN) model utilizing ENSO and MJO indices and other local environmental information used to predict east Pacific and Atlantic cyclogenesis





# Part I: Predicting Forecasts Errors in GEFS (“Errors of Opportunity”)

- ▶ Global Ensemble Forecast System (GEFSv12)
- ▶ The reforecasts, comprising a total of 1042 samples, were initiated every 7 days from 01/05/2000 to 12/18/2019
- ▶ Integrated out to 35 days. Our study focuses on **lead times of 10-14 days**




# Using Neural Networks to Predict Errors in the Unified Forecast System (UFS) on S2S Timescale



Cahill, Barnes, Maloney, Harr,  
Madaus, Sain (2024), WAF

# Using Neural Networks to Predict Errors in the Unified Forecast System (UFS) on S2S Timescale



## Accuracy of Predicting h500 Errors in the UFS 30% Most Confident Samples





Cahill, Barnes, Maloney, Harr,  
Madaus, Sain (2024), WAF

# Using Neural Networks to Predict Errors in the Unified Forecast System (UFS) on S2S Timescale



a) Input Map Composite -- Spring UFS Overestimates  
30% Most Confident Samples (Washington)



b) Integrated Gradients -- Spring UFS Overestimates  
30% Most Confident Samples (Washington)




c) Phase Distribution -- Spring UFS Overestimates  
30% Most Confident Samples (Washington)



Cahill, Barnes, Maloney, Harr,  
Madaus, Sain (2024), WAF



# Using Neural Networks to Predict Errors in the Unified Forecast System (UFS) on S2S Timescale

h500 Progression -- Spring UFS Overestimates  
30% Most Confident Samples



Associated with MJO Phase 4


OLR Progression -- Spring UFS Overestimates  
30% Most Confident Samples



Cahill, Barnes, Maloney, Harr,  
Madaus, Sain (2024), WAF

# Part 2: Subseasonal TC Genesis Prediction

## TC Genesis vs. MJO Phase



Henderson and Maloney (2013)



# (UFS S2S PROTOTYPES 5-8)

April 2011 to March 2018 (7  
years)

6 hourly output, out to 35 days

1st and 15th of each month

- ❖ The re-analysis dataset, ERA5, is utilized to compare with the UFS model.
- ❖ The All-season Real-time Multivariate (RMM) MJO Index (Wheeler-Hendon) is obtained from the The Centre for Australian Weather and Climate Research.

Data Source: <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/mjo/>

- ❖ The TC information is obtained from the International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS) dataset.

Data Source: <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/international-best-track-archive>


Yu-Cian Tsai



MJO Phase 8, 1 (avg):

22 cases

Composite 850 hPa Velocity Potential



# Boreal Summer MJO Composites (Ensemble Average)


Model MJO Propagates Too Slowly  
and Creates East Pacific Biases?

Produces timing and strength errors  
of MJO precipitation in east Pacific

MJO Phase 4, 6 (avg):

20 cases



Composite 850 hPa Velocity Potential



# East Pacific Genesis Potential Forecasts

$$\underline{(-0.67) \times \omega_{500} + (0.24) \times f\zeta_{r850} + (-0.02) \times V_{zs}}$$

wavenumber 1-10 ISGPI and Precipitation anomalies (10-20N, 90-120W)




ERA5    Prototype mean    P5    P6    P7    P8

Using intraseasonal genesis potential index:  
Moon et al. (2018)


Units: TC genesis per day per 10°x10°  
grid

# Moist Static Energy Variance Budget (West Pacific)

$$S_p(F) = \frac{\|F \cdot \partial P' / \partial t\|}{L_v \|\partial P' / \partial t \cdot \partial P' / \partial t\|}$$




$$S_m(F) = \frac{\|F \cdot P'\|}{L_v \|P' \cdot P'\|}$$




# Part 3: ENSO and MJO as Predictors Lead to east Pacific TC Cyclogenesis Prediction Skill


## NN Brier Skill Score Improvement for the East Pacific




- TS counts (baseline)
- TS counts + ENSO
- TS counts + RMM1 + RMM2
- TS counts + ENSO + RMM1 + RMM2
- TS counts + ENSO + RMM1 + RMM2 + RMM1-7 + RMM2-7
- TS counts + ENSO + RMM1 + RMM2 + RMM1-7 + RMM2-7 + U850 + SST



(a) East Pacific



(b) Atlantic Basin



Carlo Frontera, Barnes,  
Maloney (2024), WAF

# Conclusions

- A NN model accurately identifies underestimates of Spring 2-week forecast geopotential heights in the Pacific Northwest in MJO phase 4 stemming from the UFS's failure to correctly forecast teleconnection patterns.
- When the UFS is initiated in MJO phases with a strong dipole of convection across the Maritime Continent, prominent subseasonal UFS forecast errors result in the Western Hemisphere that affect cyclogenesis predictions due to too slow of MJO propagation
- UFS Prototype 8 shows a lot more promise.
- NN model utilizing ENSO and MJO indices and other local environmental information are also used to predict east Pacific and Atlantic cyclogenesis, and demonstrate enhanced forecasting skill relative to climatology



## More Details

---

Cahill, Jack, Elizabeth A Barnes; Eric D Maloney; Stephan R Sain; Patrick Harr; Luke Madaus, 2024: Errors of Opportunity: Using Neural Networks to Predict Errors in the Unified Forecast System (UFS) on S2S Timescales, *Weather and Forecasting*, submitted.

Tsai, Y.-C., E. D. Maloney, D. Kim, and S. Camargo, 2024: Unified Forecast System Model Prediction of the Madden-Julian Oscillation and East Pacific Teleconnections During Boreal Summer. *J. Geophys. Res.*, in preparation.



Carlo Frontera, Z., E.A. Barnes, and E. D. Maloney, 2024: Data-driven Models for Subseasonal Cyclogenesis Forecasts in the East Pacific and North Atlantic. *Wea. And Forecasting*, submitted.

Thanks







# Using Neural Networks to Predict Errors in the Unified Forecast System (UFS) on S2S Timescale





Cahill, Barnes, Maloney, Harr,  
Madaus, Sain (2024), *WAF*


# MJO Phase Diagrams and Forecasts




# MJO Phase Diagrams and Forecasts




# East Pacific Variance Budget




# Composite Omega Anomalies at the Time of Maximum Convection




### (a) RMM Index $\geq 1$



### (b) RMM Index $\geq 0.75$




### (c) RMM Index $\geq 0.50$



- ❖ First, we generate statistics of the MJO phases at model initialization days (day 0) for different RMM index thresholds.
- ❖ “X” means that MJO is inactive (RMM index < threshold) at those initialization days.
- ❖ To obtain a large enough sample size of MJO cases starting at different phases, we decided to set the threshold of RMM index to 0.5.


**Boreal Summer Only**

UFS: MJO start from phase 1 and phase 8 (precipitation & UV850)




e.g. After Phases 1 and 8, UFS wants to too strongly suppress east Pacific precipitation and produces easterly low-level anomalies

ERA5: MJO start from phase 1 and phase 8 (precipitation & UV850)



Would unrealistically suppress east Pacific cyclogenesis.



# AI Architecture

- › input layer consists of OLR across the tropics resulting in a total of 48,581 vectorized and normalized grid points/input nodes. To account for the large number of input nodes we apply a “dropout layer” following the input layer
- › one hidden layer of 60 nodes
- › rectified linear unit is used as the activation function. Subsequently, the output layer is composed of three nodes, representing the three classes of error: UFS underestimates, UFS precise estimates, and UFS overestimates.
- › a softmax activation function is applied to the output layer which remaps the values of the three-node output such that they sum to one.
- › The largest value of the three nodes is then defined as the network’s predicted class.
- › Additionally, we associate the value of the winning class with its predicted value, which we call “model confidence”. This “model confidence” quantifies the neural network's certainty in its classification decision for each sample. A higher value, closer to 1, indicates a stronger confidence in the network's prediction, suggesting that the model perceives clear, definitive features in the data that align with the predicted class.
- › Conversely, a lower confidence value, like 0.6, implies uncertainty and less distinct features in the data for that winning class. The batch size is set to 32 and the neural network is run for 100 epochs with a learning rate of 0.0001.



# AI Architecture

---

- The network is trained on 16 years of data (834 or 833 samples depending on the presence of leap years) and the remaining 4 years of data (208 or 209 samples) are used as testing data.
- In an attempt to ameliorate potential issues of a small testing set, a five-fold cross-validation technique is employed. This approach incorporates each consecutive testing fold, resulting in 1042 testing samples for analysis.
- To ensure robustness, the neural network is run for six different random initialization seeds of starting weights for each training-testing fold. Unless otherwise stated, our analysis shown here is performed solely on the testing data averaged across all cross-validation folds and seeds. This average is computed after all folds and seeds are run.
- This setup is applied to all 156 grid points across the North Pacific and continental United States such that each location is trained using 30 different networks.

# AI Performance




Figure 3: Neural network accuracy as a function of confidence for a singular location in (a) Washington State ( $46^{\circ}\text{N} - 120.5^{\circ}\text{W}$ ) and (b) Baja California ( $30^{\circ}\text{N} - 114.5^{\circ}\text{W}$ ). Light colored lines represent the six neural networks with cross-validation included, the thick black line represents the neural network average across the six networks, and the gray region represents the 95% confidence interval based on random chance using the number of samples at each 'percent most confident'.