# ARMOR: Advanced Radar for Meteorological and **Operational Research (fixed)**

Freq: 5625 MHZ	
λ: 5.3 cm	
Polarization: H,V (S	TAR)
2 . 1 . 1	A COMPANY

PRF: 250-2000 Hz Antenna diam: 3.7 m Pulse length: 0.4-2.0 ms Beamwidth: 1.0° Xmit: Magnetron, 350 kW Signal Proc: RVP-8



Meso-y-scale dual Doppler network

0.18:14:35

d) 18:21:06

EF-1 tornadoes.



ARMOR-MAX baseline: 42 km

ARMOR-KHTX baseline: 67 km

MAX-KHTX baseline: 34 km

Tornadogenesis with a QLCS on 28 February 2011. The left panel shows the dual Doppler analysis (ARMOR/KHTX) of stormrelative airflow at 1 km AGL. The increase in vorticity within the mesocyclone coindided with the passage of a quasi-linear reflectivvity feature (QLRF) with the storm core. The right panels show higher resolution details of Z from MAX between 1810 and 1821 UTC. Panel d shows a tornado signature 6 km NNW of the MAX radar.

## ARMOR RHI over the MIPS during a thunder-snow event



All UAH assets were deployed during a thunder-snow event over northern Alabama on 10 January 2011. Frequent RHI scans were conducted over the MIPS, located at 52.7 deg, 14 km from the ARMOR. These images show VHF sources (black dots) detected by the lightning mapping array superimposed on the vertical plane of the RHI images. Layering of sources indicates long horizontal channels near 2 km AGL (and secondarily at 5 km) and a CG lightning strike near a range of 22 km (tower induced). Liquid water detected by the microwave profiling radiometer was present within the lowest 2 km

# **Research Radar Facilities at UAH**

Kevin Knupp and Larry Carey

## MAX: Mobile Alabama X-band

Frea: 9450 MHz λ: 3.2 cm Polarization: H,V (STAR)

PRF: 250-2000 Hz Antenna diam: 2.4 m Pulse length: 0.4-2.0 µs Beamwidth: 0.95° Xmit: Magnetron, 250 kW Signal Proc: RVP-8



MAX deployed at the New Market site 42 km NE of the ARMOR



MAX measurements during the landfall of TS Ida. The upper panels show 0.5° and 1.3° PPI's of Z<sub>h</sub> from KMOB. The black line shows the location of MAX RHI scan of Z<sub>h</sub> (attenuated), Z<sub>DR</sub> (not corrected), K<sub>dor</sub> and V<sub>r</sub>. The vertical variation of K<sub>dp</sub> indicates warm rain growth within the lowest 4 km, consistent with the decrease in Z<sub>h</sub> from KMOB.

#### Tornado outbreak, 4/27/11





## **MIPS: Mobile Integrated Profiling System**



Doppler wind profiler (915) Freq: 915 MHz PRF: variable Antenna diam: 2 m λ: 32.8 cm Pulse length: 0.4-2.0 us Beamwidth: 9° Xmit: Solid state, 500 W Signal Proc: digital Polarization: single X-band Profiling Radar (XPR) Freq: 9410 MHz PRF: 1000-2000 Hz Antenna diam: 1.8 m Pulse length: 0.2-1.0 µs Beamwidth: 1.2°  $\lambda \cdot 32 \text{ cm}$ Polarization: single Xmit: Magnetron, 25 kW Signal Proc: Gamic



One of the first set of XPR measurements during the Profiling of Winter Storms (PLOWS) project on 14 February 2010. These two images show the fine structural details of convective elements near cloud top (7 km AGL). This was a common feature measured in even greater detail by the Wyoming Cloud Radar on the NCAR WC-130, which was conducting linear flight legs at multiple altitudes along a line over the MIPS, MAX and NCAR MISS platforms over southern Indiana.



over the MIPS/XPR near 1948 UTC. Wave motion is eastward at 24 m/s. Significant w values appear to be confined to the lowest 2 km. The estimated duct height is 500 m, based on microwave profiling radiometer measurements. Above: Armor images of V<sub>R</sub> show gravity waves 1 and 2 (labeled). Wave 2 appears to extend southward to the Cullman supercell storm which produced its first tornado near this time. The boundary location is shown.



### XPR and 915 MHz profiler measurements of mammatus cloud on 21 April 2011





XPR measurements of the passage of a mammatus cloud. The top two panels present high-resolution details of Z (left) and W (right). Variability in W is shown in the lower region of the cloud. Simultaneous measurements (SNR, lower left) from the 915 MHz wind profiler do not resolve the smaller scale features, but Bragg scatter at low levels is apparent. The XPR Z values at low levels are less pronounced, but the return does show W values within the boundary layer over the lowest one kilometer (top right). This example illustrates the ability to identify Bragg vs. Rayleigh scatter.