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**Geomagnetic field**

**Mapping with high spatial resolution from orbit:**

- **Magsat (1980)**
- **Ørsted (1999 - )**
- **Champ (2000 - )**

Radial magnetic field \( B_r \) at Earth‘s surface

\[
\mathbf{B} = -\text{grad} \ V \\
V = R_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_p}{r} \right)^{n+1} \sum_{m=0}^{n} P_n^m (\cos \theta) \left( g_{nm}^m \cos m\lambda + h_{nm}^m \sin m\lambda \right)
\]

Gauss (1838): Representation of field \( \mathbf{B} \) by scalar potential \( V \) expanded in spherical harmonic functions. Gauss coefficients \( g_{nm}, h_{nm} \)
Power spectrum

Degree power

\[ P_n = (n+1) \left( \frac{R_p}{r} \right)^{2n+4} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left[ \psi_n^m + \phi_n^m \right] \]

If no field sources in crust and mantle downward continue field to core

At Earth’s surface: strong drop up to harmonic degree \( \sim 14 \), white spectrum beyond

At core-mantle boundary (CMB, 2900 km depth): white spectrum (dipole sticking out by factor 5) up to \( n \approx 14 \), blue spectrum beyond.

Interpretation: Observed field up to \( n=14 \) dominated by core field, for \( n>14 \) dominated by field of inhomogeneous magnetization of Earth’s crust
Dipole still dominant, but more structured field. Scales < 1500 km unknown. Four high latitude flux lobes (65°) at same longitudes in both hemispheres. Weak or reversed flux at rotation poles. Low latitude patches of both polarities. $rms$ – field strength at top of core in degrees 1-13 is 0.39 mT (3.9 Gauss). Internal field strength in core ~ 1 - 4 mT ? (Toroidal field ~ Poloidal field).
Secular variation

Dipole dropped by 9% since 1840
Reconstructions of core field morphology 1590 - 2009
Fluctuations of non-dipole parts on time scales 50 – 400 yrs
Stability of high-latitude flux lobes
Westward drift in Atlantic / Africa
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Inversion for core flow

Frozen flux assumption (negligible diffusion in magnetic induction equation) leads to simple equation connecting $B_r$, $\partial B_r/\partial t$ and the horizontal flow $u_h$ at the CMB.

$$\frac{\partial B_r}{\partial t} = - \nabla_h \cdot (u_h B_r)$$

One equation with two unknowns: additional assumption needed, for example purely toroidal flow $\nabla_h \cdot u_h = 0$ (plus damping of the inversion).

Westward flow under Africa and South Atlantic.

Typical core flow velocities are of order 0.5 mm/s at large scale

$$E_{mag} = B^2/2\mu_0 \sim 2 \text{ Jm}^{-3}$$

$$E_{kin} = \rho u^2/2 \sim 10^{-2} \text{ Jm}^{-3}$$
Paleomagnetism

- Rocks contain ferromagnetic minerals, e.g. magnetite Fe$_3$O$_4$
- During their formation rocks acquire remanent magnetisation.
- Thermoremanence when cooled below Curie (blocking) temperature.
- Demagnetisation of rock samples in laboratory to distinguish primary from secondary (later acquired) magnetisation that may overprint.
- Information on direction and intensity of magnetising field at time of formation (determined radiometrically)

**Results:**

- Earth's field existed since at least 3.2 billion years.
- Intensity fluctuated within a factor of 2-5, but without long-term trend.
- During the past ~ 5 Myr, the field was dominated by the axial dipole with moderate contributions from multipoles, similar as present field.
- For earlier times this is more difficult to prove because of continental drift, but available evidence is in favor of it.
- Dipole field reverses direction
Geomagnetic reversals

- On average a few reversals per million years
- Stochastic (not nearly periodic as in case of the Sun)
- Duration of reversal short (several 1000 yrs) compared to duration of stable polarity periods (several 100,000 yrs)
- Earth surface field during reversal weaker (factor 0.1-0.3), multipolar
- Reversal frequency varies on 100 Myr time scale (mantle influence ?)
## Magnetic fields of solar system planets

Spacecraft detected magnetic fields at most (but not all) major planets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planet</th>
<th>Dynamo</th>
<th>$R_{c}/R_p$</th>
<th>$B_s$ [$\mu$T]</th>
<th>Dip. tilt</th>
<th>Quadr Dipole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Yes (?)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>$&lt;5^\circ$?</td>
<td>0.1-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venus</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10.4°</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moon</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.2 ?</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.4°</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mars</td>
<td>No, but in past</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>9.4°</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturn</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>59°</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neptune</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganymede</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.3 ?</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$&lt;5^\circ$?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R_{c}/R_p$: core / planetary radius, $B_s$: Mean field at planet’s surface, Quadr. / dipole power at $R_c$
Diversity of planetary magnetic fields

Jupiter

Saturn

Neptune

Mars
Interior of planets: Fundamental requirements for dynamo

- Electrically conducting fluid layer

- Motion in this layer with a sufficient velocity. Magnetic Reynolds number \( Rm = U R_c / \lambda > 50 \)
  Convection likely source of motion.

- Motion must have suitable geometry (e.g. helical). Rotation (Coriolis force) important.
Earth: Internal structure & energetics

- Seismology: Dense core with $R_c/R_p = 0.55$
- Fe only cosmochemically abundant element matching density
- No shear waves in outer core, hence it is liquid
- Solid inner core with $0.35R_c$
- ~10% light element (Si, S, O, ...) in outer core, less in inner core
- Earth heat flow 44 TW. Core fraction estimated 3-15 TW
- Core heat flow mostly due to secular cooling (radioactive $^{40}$K in core ?)
Planetary interiors: a comparison

Dynamo region:
Liquid iron in Earth-like planets and Ganymede. Solid inner core uncertain.
Metallic hydrogen in Jupiter & Saturn
“Ices” with ionic conductivity in Uranus & Neptune

Heat flux: uncertain for rocky planets other than Earth. For gas planets deduced from excess infrared radiation.
Thermal & compositional convection in the cores of terrestrial planets

- For convection, the temperature gradient must exceed the adiabatic gradient: 
  \[(dT/dr)_{ad} = \alpha g(r)T/c_p = T/H_T\]
- The core heat flux of a terrestrial planet is controlled by the mantle.
- Significant heat can be conducted along adiabatic temperature gradient. Earth’s CMB: \(q_{\text{cond}} = 20 - 30 \text{ mWm}^{-2}\). Total CMB flux: \(q = 30 - 150 \text{ mWm}^{-2}\)
- Core heat mostly due to secular cooling.
- If a growing solid inner core exists, latent heat of freezing contributes to driving thermal convecting and release of light element drives compositional convection.
Planetary versus solar dynamo I

Magnetic Reynolds number \( Rm = \mu_o\sigma UD = UD/\eta \)

- in the sun: \( O(10^9) \)
- in terrestrial planets: \( O(10^3) \)
- in hydrogen planets: \( O(10^4) – O(10^5) \)

In planets the magnetic Reynolds number low enough to allow direct numerical simulation of the induction process \( \Rightarrow \) cause for success of geodynamo models?

But: Hydrodynamic Reynolds number too large to resolve turbulent flow in any of these objects.

\( \sigma \): conductivity \( \eta \): magnetic diffusivity \( U \): characteristic velocity \( D \): shell thickness
Planetary vs solar dynamo II

**Sun:** Compressibility (stratification) important

- considered essential to generate flow helicity
- convection zone covers many density scale heights
- Coriolis force and nonlinear inertial term similar order
  Rossby number \( \text{Ro} = \frac{U}{\Omega L} \approx O(1) \)

**Planetary cores:**

- Density scale height > \( R_{\text{core}} \) Boussinesq models.
- Magnetic pressure and magnetic buoyancy play small role
- Coriolis force \( \gg \) Inertial force (on large scales) \( \text{Ro} \ll 1 \)

\( \Omega \) rotation rate \( L \): characteristic length scale
Nondimensional Boussinesq equations

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} + 2 \tilde{e}_z \times \tilde{u} + \nabla P = E \nabla^2 \tilde{u} + Ra^* \frac{\tilde{r}}{r_o} T + (\nabla \times \tilde{B}) \times \tilde{B}
\]

- Inertia
- Coriolis
- Viscosity
- Buoyancy
- Lorentz

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla T = \frac{E}{Pr} \nabla^2 T
\]

- Advection
- Diffusion

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{B}}{\partial t} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{B} = \tilde{B} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} + \frac{E}{Pm} \nabla^2 \tilde{B}
\]

- Advection
- Induction
- Diffusion

\[
\nabla \cdot \tilde{u} = 0
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \tilde{B} = 0
\]
## Control parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Force balance</th>
<th>Earth value</th>
<th>Model values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Ra^* = \alpha g \Delta T / \Omega^2 D$</td>
<td>Rayleigh number</td>
<td>Buoyancy Rotational forces</td>
<td>5000 x critical ?</td>
<td>&lt; 100 x critical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E = \nu / \Omega D^2$</td>
<td>Ekman number</td>
<td>Viscosity Coriolis force</td>
<td>$10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$\geq 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pr = \nu / \kappa$</td>
<td>Prandtl number</td>
<td>Viscosity Thermal diffusion</td>
<td>0.1 - 1</td>
<td>0.1 – 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pm = \nu / \eta$</td>
<td>Magnetic Prandtl #</td>
<td>Viscosity Magnetic diffus.</td>
<td>$10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.06 - 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\alpha$: therm. expansivity, $g$: gravity, $D$: shell thickness, $\Omega$: rotation rate, $\Delta T$: driving temperature contrast, $\nu$: viscosity, $\kappa$: thermal diffusivity, $\eta$: magnetic diffusivity
## Diagnostic numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ratio of</th>
<th>Earth</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Re} = \frac{UD}{\nu}$</td>
<td>Reynolds number</td>
<td>Nonlinear inertia Viscosity</td>
<td>$10^8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Rm} = \frac{UD}{\eta}$</td>
<td>Magnetic Reynolds#</td>
<td>Advection Magnet. diffus.</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Ro} = \frac{U}{\Omega D}$</td>
<td>Rossby number</td>
<td>Nonlinear inertia Coriolis</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Nu} = \frac{q}{q_{\text{cond}}}$</td>
<td>Nusselt number</td>
<td>Total heat flow Conductive heat</td>
<td>? (&gt;&gt;1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda = \frac{\sigma B^2}{2\rho \Omega}$</td>
<td>Elsasser number</td>
<td>Lorentz force Coriolis force</td>
<td>0.3 - 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nondimensional Boussinesq equations

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} \right) + 2 \vec{e}_z \times \vec{u} + \nabla P = E \nabla^2 \vec{u} + Ra^* \frac{\vec{r}}{r_o} T + (\nabla \times \vec{B}) \times \vec{B}
\]

- Inertia
- Coriolis
- Viscosity
- Buoyancy
- Lorentz

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla T = \frac{E}{Pr} \nabla^2 T
\]

- Advection
- Diffusion

\[
\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{B} = \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} + \frac{E}{Pm} \nabla^2 \vec{B}
\]

- Advection
- Induction
- Diffusion

\[
\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0
\]
Geostrophic flow in spherical shell

Balance Coriolis force \( \sim \) pressure gradient force

\[ 2 \rho \Omega \times \mathbf{u} = \nabla p \]

Take curl \( \Rightarrow (\Omega \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = 0 \)

Proudman Taylor theorem

• When \( E \ll 1, \ Ro \ll 1 \) and \( \Lambda \ll 1 \) convection in spherical shells in columns aligned with rotation axis outside the inner core tangent cylinder

• Must violate P-T-theorem, but does so as little as necessary

• Columnar flow is helical: secondary circulation along center of columns
A simple geodynamo model

Radial magnetic field
Radial velocity
Axisymmetric field

E=10^{-3}  \quad Ra/Ra_c=1.8  \quad Pm=5  \quad Rm=39

Quasi-stationary flow and magnetic field
Field generation mechanism

„Macroscopic“ $\alpha^2$-dynamo
An advanced model

Radial magnetic field

Radial velocity

$E=10^{-5}$ $Ra/Ra_c=114$ $Pm=0.8$ $Rm=914$

- Flow columnar outside tangent cylinder
- Vigorous flow inside tangent cylinder; polar plumes
- Strong toroidal field inside tangent cylinder
- $\alpha^2\Omega$ – dynamo? ($\Omega$-effect inside tangent cylinder)
Comparison with Earth: Field morphology

- Flux lobes at 60-70° latitude
- Weak flux at poles
- Flux spots of both polarities at low latitude. Expulsion of toroidal field bundles?
- Westward vortex flow in polar cap

Dynamo model, full resolution

Earth’s field at core mantle boundary
Field structure & core dynamics

Model

$B_r$

filtered

$V_r$

1990

1870
Comparison with Earth: Reversals

Stochastic reversals found in some dynamo models. Systematic model studies require very long runs, possible only at moderate parameter values.

During reversal the true dipole moment TDM drops, whereas the non-dipole field is little affected. The ‘dipolarity’ $D = \frac{\text{dipole field}}{\text{total CMB field}}$ decreases strongly as a consequence.
A simulated reversal

Magnetic field of dynamo model at Earth’s surface
Field morphology: two regimes

Ra/Ra_c = 114  E=10^{-5}  Pm=0.8

Ra/Ra_c = 161  E=10^{-5}  Pm=0.5

Rm = 914  Ro_\ell = 0.12

Rm = 917  Ro_\ell = 0.21

Dipole dynamo

Multipolar dynamo

Power spectrum at dynamo surface nearly white from degrees n=3 to n>12.

Dipolar regime: dipole is clearly stronger than multipoles.

Multipolar regime: dipole is weaker than multipoles.
Morphology controlled by rotation

Inertial vs. Coriolis force:

Local Rossby number $Ro_\ell$ calculated with mean length scale $\ell$ in the kinetic energy spectrum

$$Ro_\ell = \frac{U}{\Omega \ell}$$

Regime boundary at $Ro_\ell \approx 0.12$
Scaling laws

- For convection-driven dynamos in rotating spheres, how do characteristic properties, in particular the characteristic magnetic field strength, vary with control parameters?

- Does the dynamical regime change between parameter values accessible in numerical models and planetary values?

- Do planetary dynamos and (some) stellar dynamos follow the same scaling rules?
Elsasser number rule

Balance Coriolis – Lorentz (Magnetostrophic)

\[ 2\rho \Omega u \sim jB \]

Generalized Ohm’s law: \( j = \sigma (E + uB) \)

Ignore electric field

\[ J \sim \sigma UB \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\rho\Omega U \sim \sigma UB^2 \]

Elsasser number \( \Lambda = \sigma B^2/(2\rho\Omega) \sim 1 \)

\[ B^2/2\mu_0 \approx \rho\eta\Omega \]
Power-controlled field strength

**Hypothesis:** The magnetic energy density depends on thermodynamically available energy flux, that is the part of the energy flux that can be converted to magnetic energy and can balance ohmic dissipation. The field strength is independent of rotation rate, conductivity, viscosity, ...

\[ \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \sim f_{\text{ohm}} \rho^{1/3} \left( \frac{L}{H_T} q_c \right)^{2/3} \]

$q_c$: convected heat flux, \( H_T = c_p/(\alpha g) \): temp. scale height, \( L \): charact. radial length scale, \( \rho \): density, \( f_{\text{ohm}} \): ratio ohmic dissipation / total dissipation.
Scaling law vs. model results

$q^* : \text{non-dimensional heat flux}$

$F : \text{thermodynamic efficiency}$

$f_o : \text{ohmic / total dissipation}$

$E_m^* : \text{non-dim. magnetic energy density} = \text{Elsasser \#}$

$$E_m^* = 0.63 f_o (F q^*)^{2/3}$$

in dimensional form:

$$B^2 = 1.2 \mu_0 f_o \rho^{1/3} (F q)^{2/3}$$
Comparison with planetary fields

Field strength vs. heat flux

Assume ratio between total internal field and dipole field at CMB in range 4 - 15 (from dynamo models)

Saturn 1: $R_c/R_p = 0.6$
Saturn 2: $R_c/R_p = 0.4$
Magnetic fields of stars

Slowly rotating solar-type stars: small-scale field

Rapidly rotating low-mass stars: significant large scale field component

V374 Peg

Donati et al., MNRaS, 2006

M = 0.28 M_{\text{sun}}

Rotation period 0.45 d

Field mapped by Zeeman Doppler tomography
M-dwarfs: surface field vs. rotation

Magnetic field strength at surface of M-stars increases with rotation rate at high Rossby # but saturates at low Ro (Reiners et al., ApJ, 2009)

The field is dominated by axial dipole at low Rossby number, but less so at high Ro (Morin et al., MNRAsS, 2008)

Comparison with planets and stars

The observed fields of rapidly rotating low-mass stars agree with the prediction as well as that of Jupiter and Earth

\[ \Rightarrow \text{confirmation for scaling law} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \text{dynamos in planets and (some) stars may be similar} \]

Christensen et al, Nature, 2009
Mercury and its magnetic field

Slow rotation (T = 59d)
Large iron core
Core (partially) liquid from forced libration
Solid inner core likely, but size very uncertain

Magnetic field \( \sim 320 \, \text{nT} \) (1 % of Earth’s strength) at surface
Dominantly dipolar with tilt \( < 5^\circ \)
Quadrupole / Dipole ratio uncertain (0.1 – 0.5)
Mercury’s dynamo

Why is Mercury’s surface field so weak? Is it generated by an Earth-like dynamo?

Thermoelectric dynamo (Stevenson, 1987)

Remanent magnetisation with systematic depth variation of Curie surface (Aharonson et al., 2004)

Dynamo affected by negative feedback from magnetospheric magnetic field (Glassmeier et al., 2007)

Dynamo in thin liquid shell with high toroidal/poloidal ratio (Stanley et al., 2005) or with low dipole/multipole ratio (Takahashi & Matsushima, 2006)

Dynamo with very small inner core (Heimpel et al. 2005)

Dynamo below stably stratified layer at top of the core (Christensen, 2006; Christensen & Wicht, 2008)
At Mercury’s core-mantle boundary heat flux $q < q_{\text{cond}}$ likely
Dynamo below stable fluid layer

- Internal field strong & small-scale
- Surface field weak & large-scale

Christensen, Nature, 2006;

\[ B_r \]

\[ \Delta = 60,000 \text{ nT} \]

\[ \Delta = 120 \text{ nT} \]
Magnetic field vs. radius inside core

- Field in dynamo region is strong (250,000 nT)
- Poloidal field strength drops drastically from top of unstable layer to core-mantle boundary (1,000 nT)
Skin effect

- Dynamo field must penetrate through stagnant conductor
- High frequencies damped.
- Higher multipoles fluctuate rapidly in dynamo region \( \Rightarrow \) low amplitude at surface.
- Dipole varies slowly and penetrates stagnant layer.
Saturn’s axisymmetric field

Layer of helium immiscibility at top of metallic region
⇒ stably stratified conducting region

Differential rotation in stable layer suppresses non-axisymmetric part of dynamo field (Stevenson, 1980, 1982)

All field observations to date can be fitted within uncertainties by an axisymmetric model \((g_1^0, g_2^0, g_3^0)\)
• Strong toroidal flow in stable layer (mostly differential rotation)
• In dynamo models with a dipole dominated field inside the dynamo, the external field is strong and very axisymmetric
• When flow in stable layer is suppressed, the external field has significant non-axisymmetric components  
  (Christensen & Wicht, 2008)
Summary

- Magnetic Reynolds number much lower than in Sun: DNS
- Compressibility plays less role, rotation more than in Sun
- Scaling laws from numerical dynamo models:
  - Energy flux controls field strength
  - Rotation rate controls field morphology
- Rapidly rotating stars may follow same rules
- Stably stratified conducting layers may play important role for dynamos in Mercury, Saturn, Uranus & Neptune.
Discussion and homework

(1) Calculate the stand-off distance of the magnetopause for different planets, field configurations and solar wind conditions (handout).

(2) Could the present-day magnetic field of Mars be due to an unusual dynamo? Could Mercury’s field be caused by remanent magnetisation of the crust?

(3) Discuss possible causes why Mars and Venus do not have an active dynamo at present.

(4) Could you think of a way to find out if Jupiter’s dipole field has reversed in the past as Earth’s field did?

(5) YOUR favorite subject for discussion.