Recent Ocean Activities within the S2S context (Subseasonal-to-Seasonal)

M.A. Balmaseda Beena Balan Sarojini, Ronan McAdam, Michael Mayer, Steffen Tietsche, Retish Senan, Chistopher Roberts, Hao Zuo, Eric de Boisseson, Frederic Vitart, Tim Stockdale, Simona Masina, Silvio Gualdi



In-Situ Ocean Observing System Impact of S2S

Reanalyses and Seasonal Forecasts of OHC



https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts

Calibration and Skill assessment of seamless probabilistic predictions need Earth System Reanalyses



Part I: Impact of Ocean Observations on S2S forecasts



Experimental design

ORA: provision of initial conditions	FC: Ensemble of coupled forecasts
Period: 1993-2015, 5 ens members As ORAS5 except for : lower resolution(~1°, 42 levels) No Altimeter - No bias correction All ORA have strong SST constrain	Reforecasts Period: 1993-2015 Model: as operations at low resolution ocean (1°, 42 levels) Seasonal: May and November starts, 15 ens. Members. Low resolution atmosphere (Tco199) Subseasonal: Starts every month, 5 ens. members (Tco399)
Experiments	
REF : SST, all Insitu	
NoArgo: As REF, No	o Argo

Nolnsitu: As REF, No Insitu

NoInsituAtI: As REF, but No Insitu in Atlantic

Evaluation methodology:

- Differences in the mean state of atmospheric and ocean forecast variables
- Impact on bias and errors

First time that we look at impact of ocean observations on atmospheric variables

First a look at the mean differences on ocean initial conditions

2005-2015 mean





In-situ observations have an impact on

- The global circulation (BSF) –large memory-potential to impact multiyear forecasts
- Thermocline depth (D20)- potential to impact seasonal forecasts (several months adjustment time)
- Warm pool (D28) and mixed layer (not shown)- potential to impact monthly forecasts

CECMWF

From Initial condition differences to Seasonal Forecast differences: Sea Surface Temperature

May starts 2005-2015 JJA verification



- Impact of observations visible in SST initial condition. Impact small but significant.
- Fast: Removing observations induces overall cooling in forecasts (likely Mixed layer processes. Note different sign of impact between SST and OHC in Indian Ocean)
- **Medium** : Strong dynamical cooling (warming) in Pacific(Atlantic) cold tongue by removing observations.
- Slow: In Extratropics and gyres, impact on SST Forecast resembles the impact on OHC initial conditions

Impact of Ocean Observations impact Seasonal Forecast atmospheric mean state



NoInsitu-REF



1 -0.8 -0.5 -0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1

Bias REF-EI



May starts 2005-2015 JJA verification

Top panels: Mean sea level pressure (MSLP)

- Tropical Pacific: increased MSLP over Cold Tongue Area, La Nina-like conditions, associated dryness in precip
- Tropical Indo-Atlantic: decrease in MSLP likely due to large scale SST gradients
- Extratropics: Likely due to teleconnections though some local impact due to Gulf Stream and subpolar gyre.



Bottom panels:

- T2m changes compatible with overall cooling in sea surface temperature
- NoInsitu impact is largely similar to NoArgo

Balmaseda et al. (in prep)

Note that while the amplitude of observation impact may be comparable to the forecast bias, the structure is not a 1-1 match. In the Equatorial Pacific, removing observations enhances the cold bias and the high MSLP.



But over the Atlantic, removing the in-situ seems to improve the forecast bias in MLSP

The impact of ocean observations is non-local: atmospheric bridge



The atmosphere responds to large scale SST gradients. As a consequence, at seasonal time scales:

A) differences in Atlantic SST are felt by the atmosphere at a global scale:

Note the significant impact on MSLP in the Tropical Pacific, the impact on T2m at the Pacific mid-latitudes.

There are also some significant impact on the Southern hemisphere subtropical jet by Australia

B) The response to local SST may be modified by signals from other areas, creating interference:

Note the MSLP response over the tropical Atlantic is very different between NoInsitu-global (previous slide) and NoInsitu-Atlantic

Impact on Extended Range: Ocean Biases



Red : Degraded mean state compared to Reference fc

Significant degradation in ocean surface and subsurface variables when removing observations From week 1 to week 4

CECMWF

Impact on Extended Range: Atmospheric biases

atmosphere: NoInsitu-Ref

atmosphere: NoArgo-Ref

Compared to the impact in the ocean biases, the impact on atmospheric biases is comparatively smaller: -more indirect impact -biases in atmosphere affected by many other factors.

But still, impact on bias is significant on tropical surface temperature and MSLP. The impact on barotropic stream function on NH is also significant.

	NHEM					TROPICS				NHEM						TROPICS			
Lead (days)	4-11	11-18	18-25	25-32	4-11	11-18	18-25	25-32	Lead (days)	4-11	11-18	18-25	25-32	4-11	11-18	18-25	25-32		
tprate									tprate										
2t									2t						•				
stl1				•	•	•	•	•	sti1										
msl					•	•	•	•	msl							•			
u850					•	•		•	u850		*								
v850			•		*			٠	v850							•			
t 850	•		•		•			•	t850	•									
u500									u500		*								
v500			•		*			•	v500							•			
t500	•		•		•				t500							•			
z500			•		•	•	T	•	z500					•	•	•			
u200									u200							•			
v200			•						v200										
t200			•	•	•				t200			+							
strf200	•	•	•	•			•		strf200										
vp200			•	•			•	•	vp200			•				•			
u50			•						u50					•					
v50			•	¥	•				v50										
t 50	•	*	•	•	•	•	•	•	t 5 0				•	•	•		•		
	🛕 Increase			▼	Decrease					Increase				Decrease					
ref=0.05	💧 Sig. increase (99%)			V	Sig. decrease (99%)			ref=0.05		Sig. increase (99%)				Sig. decrease (99%)					

Part II: Forecasts of Ocean Variables

- Subseasonal: •
 - Substantial progress within the S2S program (not shown here)
 - Selected ocean variables are now part of the public S2S database
 - Progress on defining verification datasets and score cards (previous • examples).
 - It will not be covered here any futher. •
- Seasonal:
 - Contribution to H2020 EuroSea project



• C3S seasonal multi-model is preparing to output forecasts of ocean variables

Skill of Seasonal Forecasts of Upper Ocean Heat Content



OHC Skill in Tropical Atlantic less than in other basins



From McAdam et al, 2021, submitted H2020 EuroSea project Skill of Seasonal Forecasts of OHC upper 300m: where do dynamical SF beat persistence? Verification against external ECVs



From McAdam et al, 2021, submitted H2020 EuroSea project



Dynamical SFs of OHC beat persistence in most areas, the Indian Ocean being particularly noticeable.

The Southern Ocean is notable exceptions

Dynamically active transition regions with thermocline gradients show lower skill in OHC. These are also regions where there is large uncertainty in ocean initial conditions (see later).

Skill of Seasonal Forecasts of OHC upper 300m: where is OHC skill better than SST skill?

OHC vs. SST May: MI May: ASO Persistence CMCC-SPS ECMWF-SEAS

Persistence of OHC is higher than SST in most areas. Exceptions are the Tropical Atlantic, frontal areas in Pacific and EEIO.

Possible explanations:

0.75

0.50

0.25

0.10

-0.10

-0.25

SST skill due to atmospheric predictability

 Rapid changes in OHC below mixed layer and fronts.

Distinctive features in the OHC/SST Skill in Dynamical Forecasts

In Tropical Pacific, SST skill ~ OHC skill,
indicating that dynamical SF benefit from subsurface information

In Tropical Atlantic (1 season ahead) skill of SST larger that OHC. Indication of remote Atmospheric forcing?

Over EEIO: dynamical SF forecasts OHC~SST, different from persistence forecast.



From McAdam et al, 2021, submitted H2020 EuroSea project

Seasonal Forecast Error versus Ocean Reanalyses Error



EureSea

CECMWF

Ocean Reanalyes:ORAS5 SEAS5 forecasts 1-season ahead **Verification:** SST and SSH: ESA-CCI OHC: CMEMS GREP Shown is the Ratio = $\frac{RMSE SEAS5}{RMSE ORAS5}$

Ocean reanalysis error in OHC/SSH comparable to Seasonal Forecasts errors outside the tropics.

Large SST uncertainty over convective areas and ocean fronts

Detection and Prediction of Marine Heat Waves



Extreme temperature values were widespread and long lasting in summer 2020 (June–July–August), as indicated by the number of marine heatwave days detected by OCEAN5 (left). The extreme nature of the season was captured by the ECMWF seasonal forecasting system SEAS5 in the forecast initialised in May and verifying in June–July–August 2020 (right), which predicted the extreme values in the right areas with more than 90% probability. The reference climatological period is 1993–2006



ECMWF

De Boisseson and Balmaseda, ECMWF Newsletter 2021 See also https://www.ecmwf.int/en/about/media-centre/news/2021/world-meteorological-day-focuses-role-ocean-weather-and-climate

Summary

- We have reported on recent ocean-related activities in the sub-seasonal and seasonal forecasting communities.
- The in-situ ocean observations have a profound and significant impact on the mean state of forecast ocean and atmospheric variables, and can be classified into different categories:
 - Related to local air-sea interaction, a direct consequence of changes in the mixed layer in the ocean initial conditions, and visible in the early stages of the forecasts
 - Related to different ocean dynamical balances, most visible in the Equatorial Pacific at time scales of 3-4 months
 - Resulting from changes in large scale SST gradients; these are non-local, mediated by the atmospheric bridge, and depend on the differential impact of the observing system in different regions.
- Verification of Seasonal Forecasts of upper ocean heat content indicate:
 - OHC is more predictable that SST in most regions
 - OHC forecasts by dynamical models beat the persistence forecasts
 - Indication that dynamical forecasts of SST benefit from the predictability of the OHC
 - The Tropical Atlantic remains difficult to predict and to analyse
- There is potential for subseasonal and seasonal predictions of Marine Heat Waves

ECMWF