

Assessment of New Radio Occultation Measurements at the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office

Will McCarty^{1,2,3}, Ricardo Todling^{1,2}, Nikki Privé^{1,4}, Mohar Chattopadhyay^{1,5}, Gary Partyka^{1,5}, and Ronald Gelaro^{1,2}

¹Global Modeling and Assimilation Office ²NASA Commercial SmallSat Data Acquisition (CSDA) Program ²NASA Goddard Space Flight Center ⁴GESTAR, Morgan State University ⁵Science Systems and Applications, Inc.

8th International Radio Occultation Working Group Meeting 8 April 2021





Radio Occultation Assimilation at the GMAO

Like most global centers, GNSS radio occultation measurements have been assimilated routinely at the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office for more than a decade

- Near-realtime implementation in the GMAO Forward Processing (FP) system
- Assimilation into reanalysis since MERRA-2 (Gelaro et al. 2017, McCarty et al. 2016)

GMAO systems are built upon the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) atmospheric data assimilation system

- GEOS global earth prediction model in atmospheric configuration
 - Cubed sphere dynamics, 0.01 hPa model top, 72 levels
- Gridpoint Statistical Interpolation (GSI) assimilation procedure, codeveloped with NOAA/NCEP/EMC
 - Bending angle assimilated to 60 km
- This talk aims to illustrate three substantial updates
 - Implementation of updated observation operator
 - Implementation of COSMIC-2
- Assessment of Spire bending angle measurements acquired via the NASA Commercial Smallsat Data Acquisition (CSDA) Program





Update of the Bending Angle Observation Operator

The vertical interpolation scheme in the GSI was updated to handle coarse integrations at the model layer interfaces versus the layer mid-points

- This issue was determined to be GMAO-centric due to the coarse spacing of the 72 vertical levels in the upper-troposphere
- Resulted in a negative bias in the background departures, particularly between 9 and 10 km

This issue was diagnosed by identifying discrepancies between the GSI and the RO SAF forward operators

- Diagnoses observing system simulated experiments (OSSEs) investigating saturation
- An example of the OSSE transitioning to production/real data systems
- Held up COSMIC-2 implementation, as the bias acted as a "blow torch" in the tropical upper-troposphere

The issue mitigates itself with better vertical resolution

 Fix will be re-assessed with more model levels upgrade anticipated in Q3 2021







COSMIC-2 Testing

Once the H(x) was improved, GMAO began testing COSMIC-2

- Data was seen to be generally consistent w/ heritage RO data
- QC decisions inherited from NOAA GSI development

Future work may consider different lower tropospheric cut-off

Data volume increase substantial in the tropics (as expected)

Largest impacts seen in tropics





Implementation of COSMIC-2

CTL: Candidate System EXP: CTL + COSMIC-2

Largest forecast improvements seen in the Tropics

- Temperature, winds largely improved
- Slight degradation in 100 hPa geopotential height RMS

Testing had a discrepancy in CrIS implementation that may have indirect feedbacks

Degradation not seen in full FP implementation

Northern Hemisphere					Southern Hemisphere				Tropics			
Variable	Pressure Level	COR	RMS	Variable	Pressure Level	COR	RMS	Variable	Pressure Level	COR	RMS	
Forecast	Day	12345	12345	Forecast	Day	12345	12345	Forecast	Day	12345	12345	
Geopotential Height	10				10				10			
	70				70				70			
	100	and the second	10.A0000000	Geopotential	100			Coonstantial	100			
	250			Height	250		A	Height	250			
	500			neight	500		A	licight	500		*****	
	700		8888		700		100 III III III III III III III III III		700			
	850				850				850			
Temperature	10				10				10			
	70				70				70			
	100				100				100			
	250	VerVVerse	100000000	Temperature	250			Temperature	250		∧ 🗱 🐼	
	500	8 AA	⊗ ∆∆	1.8	500	Δ		122	500	AAAAAA	Δ	
	700	8 A8			700	22	38 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		700			
	850				850				850	8		
	10				10				10			
	70	1. 1997-1997-1977-1977-1977-1977-1977-1977			70				70			
U-Wind	100	<u>∧</u> ⊗ ⊗			100				100			
	250		323	U-Wind	250			U-Wind	250			
	500				500	22	38 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		500			
	700	Δ	Δ		700	×			700	******		
	850				850				850	AAAAAAAAA	AAAAAAA	
V-Wind	10				10				10			
	70				70				70			
	100	A\$\$ \$\$\$\$	Δ 333		100	SASA -	18 4 388 8		100	AAA		
	250			V-Wind	250			V-Wind	250			
	500	AAA 8			500	**	Δ		500			
	700	<u>∆≋ 888</u>	AA 333		700	*			700	*******		
	850				850	2	8		850	*******		
			▲ far better, s	significant (99.99% c	onfidence)	🕴 slightly v	vorse, significant (95% confidence)				

- △ better, significant (99% confidence)
 ※ slightly better, significant (95% confidence)
- ▽ worse, significant (99% confidence)
- slightly better, significant (95% confidence) 🔻 far worse, significant (99.99% confidence)
- no significant difference

C

Summary of Implementation – FSOI

The most recent Forward Processing upgrade on 25 February 2021

 COSMIC-2, Ozone Sensitive IR, CrIS Full Spectral Resolution + Correlated Errors, MHS All-Sky, AMSR-2 All-Sky, Metop-C AMSU-A & GRAS

Looking at FSOI...

- RO jumped two positions globally
- RO jumped to 3rd overall, just below AMVs and RAOBs, in the Tropics

Total FSOI Ranking Change 1 Dec 2020 - 31 Jan 2021



Total FSOI Ranking Change 1 Dec 2020 - 31 Jan 2021







Assessment of Spire Radio Occultation Data

COSMIC-2 testing corresponded to a period that overlapped w/ CSDA Spire holdings

- Dec 2019-Jan 2020
- Spire-produced bending angle bufr profiles

Spire assimilation methodology

- GPS-derived to 5 km; other (GLONASS, GALILEO, QZSS) to 9 km
- Error modeling consistent w/ COSMIC-1

Due to latency, near-realtime implementation of Spire products infeasible

 Considering potential for implementation in future reanalyses







Spire Forecast Scorecard

CTL: Candidate (including COSMIC-2)

EXP: CTL + Spire

Largest forecast improvements seen in Southern Hemispehre

 Temperature, winds improved across the board

Improvements in N. Hem less apparent

Northern Hemisphere			Southern Hemisphere				
Variable	Pressure Level	COR	RMS	Variable	Pressure Level	COR	RMS
Forecast Day		12345	12345	Forecast	Day	12345	12345
	10				10		
	70			Geopotential Height	70		
Connetontial	100				100		
Hoight	250				250		
neight	500				500	AAAAAAA	AAAAAAA/
	700				700		
	850	8			850		
	10			Temperature	10		
	70				70		
	100				100		
emperature	250	<u>∆∞</u>			250		
	500				500		
	700				700		
	850				850		
	10				10		
	70				70		
	100			U-Wind	100		
U-Wind	250				250		
	500				500		
	700	<u>8</u> ∆			700		
	850				850		:ss∆ss∆sss
V-Wind	10				10		
	70				70		
	100				100		
	250	8		V-Wind	250		
	500	A8	A\$		500		
	700				700	<u> </u>	
	850	8			850		SSAAAASS

Tropics					
Variable	Pressure Level	COR	RMS		
Forecast	Day	12345	12345		
	10				
	70		1		
Coopstantial	100		AAAAAAAA		
Height	250				
neight	500				
	700				
	850	8			
	10				
	70				
	100				
Temperature	250	AA%			
	500				
	700				
	850		- V		
	10				
	70				
	100	ASA SI	ASA A		
U-Wind	250				
	500				
	700				
	850		8 8		
	10				
	70				
	100		<u>∆</u> ⊗		
V-Wind	250				
	500		AA:0 00		
	700		$\otimes \Delta$		
	850				

🛦 far better, significant (99.99% confidence) 🛛 🖹 slightly worse, significant (95% confidence)

- ightarrow better, significant (99% confidence) ightarrow worse, significant (99% confidence)
- 🔅 slightly better, significant (95% confidence) 🔻 far worse, significant (99.99% confidence)

no significant difference

Tropical Improvement seen, even on top of COSMIC-2



Spire FSOI



FSOI showns further enhancement of RO as a global observing system by including Spire data

- COSMIC-2 moved GNSS-RO to 3rd overall in the Tropics (earlier slide)
- Spire data fills out global contribution of observing system, moves to 3rd overall globally
- Breakdown of FSOI by RO observing system (below)
 - Total FSOI scales close to observation count
 - Per-observation, the data is similar to other observing systems







Global Modeling and Assimilation Office gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov

G





The GMAO continues to investigate expansion of their RO observing system

- PAZ, Kompsat-5, GeoOptics (via NOAA CWDP) testing about to start
- Strong desire to fill gap in global observing system between COSMIC and COSMIC-2 for reanalysis
- Reprocessed data
 - Current observation stream taken from operational (Forward Processing) data streams
 - Desirable for next reanalysis (Reanalysis of the 21st Century, R21C)
- Contrarily, data assimilation at the GMAO is at a hinge point
 - Transition from GSI to JEDI-based assimilation procedure
 - How much more to develop?





References

Gelaro, R., W. McCarty, M. J. Suarez, R. Todling, A. M. Molod, and coauthors, 2017. The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version-2 (MERRA-2). J. Climate, 30, 5419-5454. DOI 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1

McCarty, Will, Lawrence Coy, Ronald Gelaro, Albert Huang, Dagmar Merkova, Edmond B. Smith, Meta Seinkiewicz, and Krzysztof Wargan, 2016. MERRA-2 Input Observations: Summary and Assessment. NASA Technical Report Series on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation, NASA/TM-2016-104606, Vol. 46, 61 pp. (available at: https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/pubs/docs/McCarty885.pdf)

