# Driving the SEPCaster Model with an Automated AR Identification and Characterization Module Sailee M. Sawant<sup>1</sup>, Gang Li<sup>1</sup>, and Meng Jin<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Space Science and CSPAR, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL 35899, USA <sup>2</sup>Lockheed Martin Solar and Astrophysics Laboratory, Palo Alto, CA 94304, USA

### **SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND**

Solar flares and coronal mass ejections (CMEs) can cause disruptive space weather conditions, including geomagnetic storms and solar energetic particle (SEP) events, which may severely damage ground- and space-based technological systems and affect our daily lives. Therefore, we require state-of-the-art forecasting models to accurately predict space weather phenomena. This research aims to develop a physics-based operational SEP forecast model, SEPCaster, for the energetic particle radiation environment in the inner Solar System and Earth's magnetosphere.



## **ROIDETECTION**

- Pre-processes the acquired NSO/GONG magnetogram by applying a Gaussian smoothing filter with a 5 x 5 kernel. This step suppresses the complexity of the magnetic field configuration<sup>1</sup>.
- Uses photutils.segmentation<sup>2</sup>, an affiliated package of AstroPy<sup>3</sup>, to detect positive and negative regions of interest (ROIs) with pixel values greater than pre-defined intensity thresholds (e.g.,  $1\sigma$ ,  $2\sigma$ , and  $3\sigma$ ). We apply a combination of multi-thresholding<sup>2</sup> and techniques to deblend relatively complex ROIs at an intensity threshold of  $1\sigma$ .
- Computes **flux-weighted centroids** of the detected ROIs. • Implements structural thresholding and removes ROIs smaller than a pre-defined area threshold (e.g., 10  $pix^2$ ).



Carrington Longitude [deg]

Figure 1: Pre-processed NSO/GONG MRBQS magnetogram for Carrington Rotation 2268 obtained on March 03, 2023 at 11:04 UT. Positive and negative ROIs are detected at an intensity threshold of  $1\sigma$ .

## **AR IDENTIFICATION**

- Implements an **agglomerative hierarchical algorithm**<sup>4</sup> to identify potential ARs from the detected ROIs. • Determines the optimal number of clusters by calculating
- the silhouette score<sup>5</sup>, root-square<sup>6</sup>, and root-mean-squarestandard deviation<sup>6</sup> indices for each hierarchical level. • Refines the results by:
- Calculating the separation probability of ROIs within the acquired clusters.
- Adding ungrouped ROIs to the acquired clusters using the
- area-distance correlation criterion.
- Verifying that each cluster contains at least one ROI of
- opposite polarity.



**Figure 2:** Potential ARs (red boxes) for the pre-processed NSO/GONG MRBQS magnetogram shown in Figure 1.

watershed segmentation<sup>2</sup>

• Imposing the **flux-cancellation mechanism criterion**.

# **AR CHARACTERIZATION**

- and longitudinal and latitudinal gradients.
- of correlation dimension mapping<sup>7</sup> (CDM).

Mason & Uritsky (2022) originally introduced CDM to quantify the irregularities in coronal hole boundaries. We extend the application of CDM to ARs and define our own boundary- and area-based AR complexity indices. This provides a way to characterize the identified ARs and helps in determining their potential for eruptive activity.



**Figure 3:** Examples of normalized (a) boundary- and (b) area-based CDMs for AR1 identified in Figure 2. The average boundary- and area-based CDM indices for AR1 are 1.575 and 1.593, respectively.

## POTENTIAL CME ERUPTION SPEED

Based on an empirical model presented in Georgoulis (2008), we calculate the potential CME eruption speed as follows:

where c is the fraction of the length of SPILs to total PILs. For AR1 in Figure 2, we obtained  $V_{CMF} \approx 674$  km/s, while CACTus<sup>8</sup> reported the median and maximum speeds of 637 km/s and 1948 km/s, respectively. A better empirical formula will be examined in future work.



[1] Jin, M., Manchester, W. B., van der Holst, B., et al. 2017, The Astrophysical Journal, 834, 173, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/834/2/173 [2] Bradley, L., Sipőcz, B., Robitaille, T., et al. 2022, astropy/photutils: 1.5.0, 1.5.0, Zenodo, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6825092 [3] Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Lim, P. L., et al. 2022, ApJ, 935, 167, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac7c74 [4] Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., et al. 2011, Journal of Machine Learning Research, 12,2825 [5] Rousseeuw, P. J. 1987, Computational and Applied Mathematics, 20, 53, doi: 10.1016/0377-0427(87)90125-7 [6] Sharma, S. 1996, Applied Multivariate Techniques (New York: Wiley), 225, doi: 10.1002/9780470316757 [7] Mason, E. I., & Uritsky, V. M. 2022, The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 937, L19, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac9124 [8] Robbrecht, E., Berghmans, D., & Van der Linden, R. A. M. 2009, ApJ, 691, 1222, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/691/2/1222 [9] Steward, G., Lobzin, V., Cairns, I. H., Li, B., & Neudegg, D. 2017, Space Weather, 15, 1151, doi: 10.1002/2017SW001595 [10] Georgoulis, M. K. 2008, Geophysical Research Letters, 35, L12102, doi: 10.1029/2007GL032040



THE UNIVERSITY OF

ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILL

• Characterizes the identified potential ARs using parameters listed in Table 1 of Steward et. al. (2017). Some of the important parameters include number of flux peaks, maximum unsigned flux, total area, number of polarity inversion lines (PILs) and strong-gradient PILs, length of PILs and SPILs,

• Calculates AR complexity indices using our modified version

**BOUNDARY- AND AREA-BASED AR COMPLEXITY INDICES** 

 $\Phi_{tot} [Mx] = \iint B^2 / B_{avg} dS \qquad B_{eff} [G] \approx c \ 10^{-21.96} \Phi_{tot}^{1.08} \qquad V_{CME} [km/s] \approx 87.3 B_{eff}^{0.38}$ 

### REFERENCES

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research is supported in part by NASA 80NSSC22K0268





