

# Unique and Unpredictable 1-100 keV Electrons in the Earth's Magnetosphere

# N. Ganushkina (ganuna@umich.edu) (University of Michigan, MI, USA/Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland

## **1. Motivation**

- Low energy electrons (1 to few hundreds of keV) are the "seed population" for further acceleration to MeV energies, critically important for radiation belts
- Surface charging due to < 100 keV electrons can cause significant damage and anomalies on satellites

#### KeV electrons exhibit rather complicated behavior:

- Fluxes vary on time scales of minutes and strongly depend on local time, so no averaging them over a satellite orbit as done for MeV radiation
- It is not necessary to have even a moderate storm for significant surface charging event to happen
- Fluxes will not be higher and surface charging will not be stronger for more intense geomagnetic storms
- Significant surface charging can occur during substorms without any clear dependence on the substorm strength
- Specification of 1-100 keV electrons at different orbits can be provided by the **operational Inner Magnetosphere** Particle Transport and Acceleration model (IMPTAM, imptam.engin.umich.edu).
- No direct driver: no simple dependencies between flux increase and surface charging occurrences and solar wind driving parameters and geomagnetic indices
- Determine the possible drivers analyzing available datasets by statistical and ML methods

#### 5. Drivers of 40-150 keV electrons at GEO 6. Drivers for keV electrons inside GEO Empirical model for flight direction integrated 3 min averaged, unidirectional electron flux data (20 eV- 2 MeV) differential electron fluxes $f_{\text{FMP}}$ (in 1/(cm<sup>2</sup> s sr keV)) at GEO based on GOES 13-15 MAGED data HOPE and MagEIS instruments. Sillanpää et al., $f_{\text{EMP}} = a1 \cdot 10^{V_{\text{SW}}(a2 \cdot \text{sMLT} + a3 \cdot \text{cMLT} + a4)}$ Space + b1 · exp $\left[ -\frac{12 - ||MLT - b2| - 12|}{b3} - \frac{B_z + 11}{8} \right]^3$ Weather, Driving parameters: solar wind velocity $V_{SW}$ and IMF $B_7$ 04 b. SME • Hourly electron flux at GEO (GOES 13), ARMAX help to remove 0 $\sim$ В the confounding effect of diurnal cyclicity and allow assessment of each parameter independently. ARMAX models -0.02 6 5 4 3 2 1 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 show substorms (AE index) are the dominant influence at 40– $\checkmark$ 75 keV and over 20–12 MLT, with little difference between SME disturbed and quiet periods. Causal model with the 🖞 40 keV Flux 🛛 🛀 standardized regression coefficients obtained by .25 predicting 40 keV flux from AE, ULF, P, and Ey. 6420642 -20eV -50eV Indirect Drivers (*N*, *V*, and *Bz*) -2keV Simms et al., J. Geophys. Res., 2024 Although *Kp*, *SymH*, and *AE* show high simple (single individual analyses.

variable) correlations with electron flux, the influences of *Kp* and *SymH* disappear in a full regression model with other variables included.

Simms et al., J. Geophys. Res., 2022; Space Weather, 2023

# **2. KeV electrons fluxes do not depend on** substorm strength

45 substorms during the 2008 and 2009 THEMIS tail seasons, onset times identified by isolated substorm list by Ohtani and Gjerloev (2020) Electron flux data are from the **THEMIS ESA and SST**.

We define the change in flux,  $\Delta J$ , as the log10 post-onset flux minus the log10 pre-onset flux. We looked for patterns of correlation between  $\Delta J$  and substorm strength as well as locations



from the RBSP-A satellite over Feb 2013-Dec 2018 over L= 2-7 from REPT,





Solar wind velocity and pressure (but not number density), IMF magnitude (with lower influence of Bz), SME (a substorm measure), a ULF wave index, and geomagnetic indices Kp and SymH show associations with electron flux in the ARMAX

#### Only substorms (electron injection), ULF waves (radial diffusion), and pressure (magnetosphere compression) are direct drivers of electron flux.

In a combined analysis, SME is the strongest influence. ULF waves are most influential at the 1-2 MeV energies. Pressure is less associated with flux.







It is not necessary to have extreme conditions for severe spacecraft surface charging to occur, AE index defines and V<sub>sw</sub> is related to the highest risk. A disturbance in the magnetosphere is necessary.



If these driving parameters are available in near real time, IMPTAM can provide the nowcast of keV electron fluxes in the inner magnetosphere. If the parameters can be forecasted some time in advance, then IMPTAM can forecast these keV electrons.

### **3. Surface Charging vs. Activity**

- Matéo-Vélez et al., Sp. W., 2018, Ganushkina et al., Sp. W., 2021 1989-2005 years of LANL data (MPA, SOPA, ESP) at GEO Best correlation with potentials is for 10-50 keV electrons
- Criteria for severe conditions (15-min averaged fluxes):
- (FE10k): highest Fluxes of electrons at Energies above 10 keV
- (HFAE): highest Fluxes at All Energies (high fluxes at <50 keV, > 200 keV);
- (LFHE) : high fluxes at low energies together with a Low Flux at High Energy (high fluxes at <50 keV and low fluxes at >200 keV);
- (**PG5k**) : longest events with a Potential Greater than 5 kV (in absolute). 400 events with worst-case environments were identified

and attributed with corresponding solar wind, IMF and indices values



7. Operational imptam.engin.umich.edu



# N<sub>ps</sub> $T_{\rm ps} =$

#### Driving parameters: solar wind density N<sub>sw</sub>, southward and northward IMF components, and solar wind velocity $V_{SW}$





# 4. Drivers for plasma sheet keV electrons

• Empirical models of the plasma sheet electron temperature and number density on the nightside for 6  $R_F < r < 11 R_F$  constructed using THEMIS SST and ESA data during storms.

$$= A_1 + A_2 R^* + A_3 \phi^{*2} R^* + A_4 \phi^{*2} + A_5 N_{sw}^* + (A_6 + A_7 R^*) B_5^*,$$

$$= [A_1 + A_2\phi^* + A_3V_{sw}^* + (A_4 + A_5\phi^{*2}R^*)B_5^{**}]$$

 $+ A_6 R^* (B_N^{**})^8$ Dubyagin et al., J. Geophys., 2016

Based on 12 years of THEMIS ESA and SST data, SWPSNN (Solar Wind Plasma Sheet Neural Network) to predict differential 0.08-93 keV electron flux in the plasma sheet, at distances from 6 to 12  $R_F$ , at 18-06 MLT.



**Most important** drivers: IMF B<sub>7</sub>-component, solar wind velocity V<sub>sw</sub>, and V<sub>sw</sub>B<sub>s</sub>

Swiger et al., Space Weather, 2022

	8. Summary
	<ul> <li>KeV electrons constitute radiation environment which contributes to surface charging effect on satellite</li> </ul>
131LY,	They vary in time and location in the Earth's magnetosphere, so that a satellite can experience surface charging at one part and nothing at all at the other part of its orbit
	<ul> <li>No simple, direct dependencies between keV electron flux increases/surface charging occurrences and solar wind driving parameters</li> </ul>
(a)	Data analysis tells us that we need to monitor solar wind velocity, Bz-component of IMF and substorm index AE (SME): they might work as possible proxies for keV electron fluxes
(b)	<ul> <li>Substorms are the most dominant factor</li> </ul>
flux, 1/cm^2*sec*keV	<ul> <li>It is not necessary to have extreme conditions (storms) for severe spacecraft surface</li> </ul>
(d) (f) (h) (h) (h) (h) (h) (h) (h) (h) (h) (h	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Collaborators: L. Simms, B. Swiger, S. Dubyagin, M. Liemohn The work at the University of Michigan was partly supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under grant agreements 80NSSC20K0353 and 80NSSC23K1405 and by the National Science Foundation under grant agreement NSF 2246912. The work at Finnish Meteorological Institute was supported by ESA contract No. 4000128226/19/NL/AS and by the project 339329 of the Academy of Finland.